

Calderdale Local Plan Examination

STAGE 2 - HEARINGS

Matter 9 Spatial Development Strategy

Note on the Notional Distribution of Housing Growth

23.10.2020

This note provides a correction of the figures included in the Local Plan Working Party (LPWP) Report of 17 August 2016, which set out the different notions of the distribution of growth.

Column F of Table 6 of the LPWP Report did not include the figures from Table 4 of the same report on completions, planning permissions and windfalls.

The tables have been reproduced below.

TABLE 6 : Notional Distribution of Housing Growth for Each Town Area

	A	B	C	D	E	F
BRIGHOUSE	9,619	6,178	8,048	5,039	5,287	6,909
ELLAND	1,067	1,067	1,067	1,800	1,835	1,800
HALIFAX	5,030	8,471	6,601	8,471	6,601	6,601
HEBDEN BRIDGE	252	252	252	252	252	252
MYTHOLMROYD/LUDDENDEN	160	160	160	282	918	282
NORTHOWRAM/SHELF	368	368	368	636	829	636
RYBURN VALLEY	55	55	55	71	829	71
SOWERBY BRIDGE	600	600	600	600	600	600
TODMORDEN	500	500	500	500	500	500
CALDERDALE	17,651	17,651	17,651	17,651	17,651	17,651

TABLE 7: Potential Need for allocations for Each Town area based on Notional Distributions Above

	A	B	C	D	E	F
BRIGHOUSE	9,107	5,666	7,536	4,527	4,775	6,397
ELLAND	621	621	621	1,354	1,389	1,354

HALIFAX	3,455	6,896	5,026	6,896	5,026	5,026
HEBDEN BRIDGE	107	107	107	107	107	107
MYTHOLMROYD/LUDDENDEN	67	67	67	189	825	189
NORTHOWRAM/SHELF	247	247	247	515	708	515
RYBURN VALLEY	-80	-80	-80	-64	695	-64
SOWERBY BRIDGE	236	236	236	236	236	236
TODMORDEN	74	74	74	74	74	74
CALDERDALE	13,834	13,834	13,834	13,834	13,834	13,834

As stated at the Hearing Session for Matter 9, the approach taken by the Council in the Publication Draft and the additional sites identified in the Housing Requirement Updates and Potential Sites, is most closely aligned with Notion F. The correction of the figures in Table 6 below do not change this.